THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING QUANTUM THINKER TEACHING MODEL IN READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT FOR SEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 22 PALEMBANG



A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirement for the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd)

Written by: YUNIARSI ROMANIAH 2020.71.0018

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

UNIVERSITY OF INDO GLOBAL MANDIRI PALEMBANG

2024

APPROVAL PAGE

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING QUANTUM THINKER TEACHING MODEL IN READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT FOR SEVENTNH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 22 PALEMBANG

THESIS

By:

Yuniarsi Romaniah

2020 7100 18

English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Indo Global Mandiri University

2024

Approved on August 05th, 2024

Advisor I

Nike Angraini, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0221019101

Advisor II

Dio Resta Permana, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0223109303

Certified by,

FAKULTAS KIP

The Head of English Education

The Dean of The Teacher Training

rogram

Study and Education

Dio Resta Permana, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0223109303

Dr. Doris Febriyanti, S. IP., M.Si.

NIDN. 0222028203

This is to certify that thesis of Yuniarsi Romaniah has been approved by the board of examiners of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Indo Global Mandiri on August 05th, 2024 as the requirement for Undergraduate Degree in English Education.

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Head of Examiner

: Nike Angraini, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0221019101

1st Examiner

: Dio Resta Permana, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0223109303

2nd Examiner

: Jaya Nur Iman, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0208018902

Acknowledged by,

The Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

John.

FAKULTAS KIP

Dr. Doris Febriyanti, S. IP., M.Si.

NIDN. 0222028203

REVISION SHEET

The Title of Thesis

: The Effectiveness of Using Quantum Thinker Teaching

Model In Reading Comprehension Achievement For Seventh

Grade Students at SMP Negeri 22 Palembang.

Name

: Yuniarsi Romaniah

Students Number

: 2020710018

Study Program

: English Education

Date of Test

: July 22nd, 2024

Approved by the Examinations Commite

Nike Angraini, M.Pd.

(Head of Examiner)

Dio Resta Permana, M.Pd.

(1st Examiner)

Jaya Nur Iman, M.Pd.

(2nd Examiner)

Signature/Date

Certified by,

FAKULTAS KIP

The Dean of The Teacher Training

rogram

The Head of English Education

Dio Resta Permana, M.Pd.

NIDN. 0223 109303

Study and Education

Dr. Doris Febriyanti, S. IP., M.Si.

NIDN, 0222028203

STATEMENT PAGE

I hereby,

Name : Yuniarsi Romaniah

Place and Date of Birth : Palembang, June 12th, 2002

Study Program : English Education

Student Number : 2020.71.0018

State that;

 Except for those mentioned by the sources, all of data, information, interpretations, and conclusions given in this thesis are the result of my observations, process, and thought with the direction of my advisors.

 The thesis that the researcher wrote is original and has never been handed in another academic degree neither at Indo Global Mandiri University nor other Universities.

This statement in made truly, and if there is ever evidence of forgery in the above statement the researcher is willing to accept the academic consequence of the cancellation of my Sarjana Degree that I got through this thesis.

Palembang, July 10th, 2024

The researcher

Yuniarsi Romaniah

2020710018

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to:

- My self
- My beloved family: My father, my mother, and my siblings
- My supporter advisors: Mrs. Nike Angraini, M. Pd. and Mr. Dio Resta Permana, M. Pd
- My lovely partner
- All my friends
- Everyone who cannot mention one by one

MOTTO

- Do the best prepare for the worst
- Choose your own happiness
- Pilihan pertama adalah pilihan kita namun pilihan kedua adalah pilihan Allah SWT untuk kita (Ali bin Abi Thalib)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis was written to fulfill the requirements to accomplish the study at Englis Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Indo Global Mandiri University. All praises and gratitude are due to Allah SWT, who always gives the best opportunities and grace for the writer to complete this thesis. Firstly, the writer would like to express the sincere gratitude to her beloved parents and siblings. They are Romani, Lili, Dewi Lianti Romaniah, and Nelly Romaniah. Thank you for the support and praying for the writer. Secondly, the writer would like to express deepest gratitude to Mrs. Nike Angraini, M. Pd., as the first advisor and Mr. Dio Resta Permana, M. Pd., as the second advisor and as the Head of the English Education Study Program, for the patience, and guidance during the writing of this thesis. Thank you for reading all the revisions, the thoughtful comments and recommendations. Thirdly, the writer would like to say thank you to Mrs. Dr. Doris Febriyanti, S. IP., M. Si. as Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, and all the lecturers at the English Education Study Program who have taught the writer for four years and staff for their assistance in administrative matters. Fourthly, thanks a lot to the teachers in SMP Negeri 22 Palembang, especially Mrs. Marima, S. Pd., Mrs. Siska Martasari, S. Pd., and Mrs. Eka Aliyah, S. Pd., who help the writer during the research. Lastly, the writer would like to say thank you to Mr. Hidayatullah Gustiawan Adi, S. Kom., as a partner. Thank you for your support, and advice. Therefore, thank you for all the writer's friends, and for everyone who supports the writer during the study.

Palembang, July 10th 2024

Yuniarsi Romaniah

ABSTRACT

Reading is very prominent to obtain information and influence the learning success. Based on survey results from the last five years, Indonesia has a low level of reading interest. Therefore, the aims of this study were to find out the use of Quantum Thinker Teaching Model in reading comprehension achievement for seventh grade students at SMP Negeri 22 Palembang and the experimental group students perception of the use Quantum Thinker Teaching Model. This study used quasiexperimental design with the sample were class of VII.2 and VII.6 that consisted of 33 students in each class, and divided into the experimental and control groups. Therefore, the data were collected by using pre-test, and post-test, that analyzed by using paired sample t-test and independent sample t-test with help of SPSS version 27 program. Based on the results of this study, (1) there was significant improvement on students' reading level category, (2) there was significant improvement in students' reading comprehension achievement, (3) there was significant improvement in students' reading aspects, (4) and there was significant mean difference between experimental and control groups. (5) Moreover, the experimental students gave positive perception toward the use of Quantum Thinker Teaching Model in teaching process. In conclusion, the use of Quantum Thinker Teaching Model was improved students' reading comprehension achievement for seventh grade students.

Keywords: Reading Comprehension Achievement, Quantum Thinker Teaching Model, Descriptive Text.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| COVER | i |
|--|------|
| APPROVAL PAGE | ii |
| BOARD OF EXAMINER | iii |
| REVISION SHEET | iv |
| STATEMENT PAGE | v |
| DEDICATION AND MOTTO | vi |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | vii |
| ABSTRACT | viii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | ix |
| LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| LIST OF DIAGRAM | xiii |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of The Study | 1 |
| 1.2 The Research Question | 4 |
| 1.3 The Research Objective | 4 |
| 1.4 The Research Significances | 5 |
| CHAPTER II LITERATURE RIVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 Reading | 6 |
| 2.1.1 The Definition of Reading | 6 |
| 2.1.2 The Purpose of Reading | 6 |
| 2.2 Reading Comprehension | 7 |
| 2.2.1 The Definition of Reading Comprehension | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Reading Comprehension Aspects | 8 |
| 2.3 Descriptive Text | 10 |
| 2.3.1 Definition of Descriptive Text | 10 |
| 2.3.2 The Purpose of Descriptive Text | 11 |
| 2.3.3 The Language Feature of Descriptive Text | 11 |
| 2.3.4 The Generic Structure of Descriptive Text | 12 |
| 2.4 Quantum Thinker Teaching Model | 12 |
| 2.4.1 The Definition of Quantum Thinker Teaching Model | 12 |

| 2.4.2 Procedure of Using Quantum Thinker Teaching Model | 13 |
|---|-------------|
| 2.5 Previous Related Study | 14 |
| 2.6 Hypotheses of The Study | 17 |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 19 |
| 3.1 Research Design | 19 |
| 3.2 Population and Sample | 20 |
| 3.2.1 Population | 20 |
| 3.2.2 Sample | 20 |
| 3.3 Teaching Procedures | 21 |
| 3.4 Teaching and Learning Material | 23 |
| 3.5 Research Variables | 25 |
| 3.6 Operational Definition | 25 |
| 3.7 Validity | 26 |
| 3.8 Reliability | 31 |
| 3.9 Readability | 32 |
| 3.10 Technique of Collecting Data | 33 |
| 3.10.1 Test | 33 |
| 3.10.2 Questionnaire | 34 |
| 3.11 Technique of Analyzing The Data | 34 |
| 3.11.1 The Reading Test Analysis | 34 |
| 3.11.2 The Questionnaire Analysis | 35 |
| 3.12 Normality and Homogeneity | 35 |
| 3.12.1 Normality | 35 |
| 3.12.2 Homogeneity | 36 |
| CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS | 37 |
| 4.1 The Results of Normality and Homogeneity Test | 37 |
| 4.2 The Findings of The Research | 39 |
| 4.2.1 Descriptive Analyses of Reading Test Resul | 39 |
| 4.2.1.1 Descriptive Analyses Pre-Test and Post-Test in Experiment | al Group 39 |
| 4.2.2 Statistical Analyses of Reading Comprehension Test Result | 44 |
| 4.2.2.1 Paired Sample T-Test | 45 |
| 4 2 2 2 Independent Sample T-Test | 47 |

| 4.2.2.3 Descriptive Analyses of The Questionnaire Results | 48 |
|---|----|
| 4.3 Hypotheses Testing | 51 |
| 4.4 The Interpretation of The Research | 52 |
| CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS | 55 |
| 5.1 Conclusions | 55 |
| 5.2 Suggestions | 56 |
| 5.2.1 English Teacher | 56 |
| 5.2.2 Students | 56 |
| 5.2.3 Future Researcher | 56 |
| REFERENCES | 58 |
| APENDICES | 63 |

LIST OF TABELS

| Table 3.1 The Table of Design | 20 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.2 The Population of The Research | 20 |
| Table 3.3 Sample of Research | 21 |
| Table 3.4 Teaching and Learning Materials | 23 |
| Table 3.5 Validity Test Instrument | 27 |
| Table 3.6 The Specification of Reading Comprehension | 28 |
| Table 3.7 Coefficient of Reliability | 31 |
| Table 3.8 Reliability of The Reading Text | 32 |
| Table 3.9 Reliability of The Questionnaire | 32 |
| Table 3.10 Value Interval in Standard of Minimum Completeness Learning | 35 |
| Table 4.1 The Normality of The Reading Test | 37 |
| Table 4.2 The Homogeneity of Reading Test | 38 |
| Table 4.3 The Students' Pre-Test and Post-test in Experimental Group | 40 |
| Table 4.4 Pre-Test Scores Analyses in Experimental Group | 40 |
| Table 4.5 Post-Test Scores Analyses in Experimental Group | 41 |
| Table 4.6 The Students' Pre-Test and Post-test in Control Group | 42 |
| Table 4.7 Pre-Test Scores Analyses in Control Group | 43 |
| Table 4.8 Post-Test Scores Analyses in Control Group | 43 |
| Table 4.9 The Result of Paired Sample T-Test of Reading Comprehension | |
| Achievement and Reading Aspect | 45 |
| Table 4.10 The Result of Independent Sampel T-Test | 47 |

LIST OF DIAGRAM

| Diagram 4.1 Question | ınaire Result | 48 |
|----------------------|---------------|----|
|----------------------|---------------|----|